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Main Results

- Analyze the time series properties of the fourth-quarter consumption growth rate as a predictor of expected excess returns on stocks.
  - This variable works better than all the previously adopted predictors both in-sample and out-of-sample.
  - Out-of-sample it predicts better than the updated historical mean of excess returns (Goyal and Welch (2008)).

- Several robustness tests are performed to convince the readers that the measure is in fact effective.

- The measure is motivated by an alignment between consumption and investment decisions in the fourth quarter.
How useful are the results obtained in this paper?

- The authors obtain a pure macroeconomic variable that forecasts future stock returns. Can we make use of that?

  1) Can we profit using their measure to time the market?
     - Propose portfolios based on their consumption measure but taking into account market transaction costs.

  2) Use this particular consumption growth rate to possibly improve results obtained by existing models:
     - Estimate the consumption-wealth ratio (cay) model of Lettau and Ludvigson (2001).
     - Estimation of the long-run consumption risk model (current project by Bansal, Kiku and Yaron (2010)).

  3) Make use of theory to give further strength to the predictability evidence.
     - Use the standard SDF approach on consumption based models to derive further relation between expected returns and the consumption growth rate.
Should we expect a linear relationship between consumption growth and expected returns?

▶ In this paper, predictive regressions suppose a linear relationship.

▶ However, from the Euler equation of a traditional CCAPM model we obtain:

$$E_t(R_{t+1}^e) = -R_t^f \times \text{cov}_t\left(\left(\frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t}\right)^\gamma, R_{t+1}^e\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

▶ Therefore basic theory suggests a nonlinear relationship between consumption growth and expected returns.

▶ Considering nonlinear case may improve even more the $R^2$’s.
  ▶ Cost: introduction of a risk-aversion parameter to be estimated.
  ▶ One possibility: Test predictability with some fixed values for the risk-aversion coefficient based on a grid.
Nonparametric nonlinear consumption-based SDFs

- Given a set of basis assets $R$, while the linear projections of HJ obtained a linear SDF on basis assets that would price those assets...
- The SDFs obtained by Almeida and Garcia are hyperbolic functions of basis assets:

$$\hat{m}_{MD}(R) = \beta \ast \left(1 + \gamma \hat{\lambda}_{opt}' \left(R - \frac{1}{a}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \quad (2)$$

- One possibility to test for nonlinearities would be to use this approach considering the fourth-quarter consumption growth rate as a primitive return.
- Our discrepancy measures are parameterized by $\gamma$, which has an interpretation of average risk-aversion coefficient.
Robustness of Results

- In-sample tests should be performed recursively.
  - Considering a number of sub-samples reassures that the finding is not particular to the period analyzed.

- The dynamics of expected returns on equities could be changing across time therefore changing significance of the f-c-g-r as predictor.

- Evidence: When start the o-o-s analysis in the 1990s, the predictability results are much less effective.

- More careful comparison with the cay measure of Lettau and Ludvigson (2001).
  - Re-estimate the cointegration vector recursively.
  - Use the fourth-quarter consumption measure to estimate cay.

- Compare differences in $R^2$’s by bootstrap.